



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

The effect of level of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens

Bailie, C. L., & O'Connell, N. E. (2014). The effect of level of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens. *Animal*, 8(10), 1715-1721. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731114001529>

Published in:
Animal

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights
© The Animal Consortium 2014

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

The effect of level of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens

C.L. Bailie and N.E. O'Connell

Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queens University
Belfast, Belfast, BT9 7BL

Corresponding author: Carley Bailie. E-mail: c.bailie@qub.ac.uk

Running Head

Straw bale provision and broiler chicken welfare

Abstract

This study aimed to assess the effect of the number straw bales provided on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens. Houses containing ~23,000 broiler chickens were assigned to 1 of 2 treatments (1) access to 30 straw bales (SB) per house '30SB', or (2) access to 45 SB per house '45SB'. This equated to bale densities of 1 bale/44m² and 1 bale/29m² of floor space within houses, respectively. Treatments were applied in 1 of 2 houses on a commercial farm, and were replicated over 6 production cycles. Both houses had windows and were also artificially lit. Behaviour was observed in weeks 3-5 of the cycle. This involved observations of general behaviour and activity, gait scores (0 (perfect) to 5 (unable to walk)) and latency to lie (measured in seconds from when a bird had been encouraged to stand). Production performance and environmental parameters were also measured. Straw bale density had no significant effect on activity levels ($p>0.05$) or walking ability ($p>0.05$). However, the average latency to lie was greater in 30SB birds compared to 45SB birds ($p<0.05$). The incidence of hock burn and podo dermatitis,

average body weight at slaughter and levels of mortality and culling were unaffected by straw bale density ($p>0.05$). The results from this study suggest that increasing straw bale levels from 1 bale/44m² to 1 bale/29m² floor space does not lead to significant improvements in the welfare of commercial broiler chickens in windowed houses.

Keywords: *Behaviour, broiler chicken, leg health, straw bales, enrichment*

Implications

This study provides novel information on the effect of increasing the level of straw bale provision on welfare-related parameters in commercial broiler chickens. While levels may be stipulated in quality assurance schemes, there appears to be very little published research in this area. The results of this study suggest that increasing the number of straw bales provided in a standard windowed broiler house from 30 to 45 (or from 1 bale/44m² to 1 bale/29m² floor space) does not lead to significant improvements in welfare. This highlights the requirement for further research to determine the optimum level of provision of enrichment stimuli to maximise the welfare of birds within large commercial flocks.

Introduction

The effectiveness of environmental enrichment is thought to rest on the biological significance of the enrichment for the target species (Newberry, 1995). The provision of straw bales may enable the performance of behaviours that have the potential to improve the welfare of commercial broiler chickens. Bales may conceal birds from any perceived threats during the performance of resting and preening behaviours

(Newberry and Shackleton, 1997), which may reduce stress. Foraging behaviour has been shown to be increased in laying hens provided with access to straw on the ground compared to those without access (Aerni, 2000). The addition of dry straw to the litter from the dismantlement of straw bales by birds may therefore promote increased levels of ground pecking or foraging, which are typical fowl behaviours.

This may also improve litter quality and, combined with increased activity levels, promote better leg health. Straw bales are an economically viable form of environmental enrichment and are easily replaced between rearing cycles, making their use as enrichment stimuli common within the UK broiler industry.

Past research showed a significant increase in activity levels in commercial broiler chickens when straw bales were provided at a density of approximately 1 bale per 17m² of floor area compared to when none were provided (Kells *et al.*, 2001).

However, more recent research carried out in windowed houses suggests that straw bales, provided at a density of approximately 1 bale per 44m² of floor space, had no significant effect on activity levels (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). Provision of straw bales within the latter study did, however, exert some positive effects on leg health; a factor which was not measured in the study by Kells *et al.* (2001). The difference in the effect on activity levels observed in these 2 studies may have been attributable to the difference in the density of straw bales supplied within houses.

At present there is no legislation governing the provision, or optimal levels, of enrichment stimuli for commercial broiler chickens in the EU (Council Directive 2007/43/EC). Chickens reared under assured welfare schemes, or those marketed by independent retailers as 'improved welfare' birds, are usually provided with access to environmental enrichment during rearing. However, the numbers and types of enrichments required by different schemes and retailers differ. In the UK the

RSPCA Freedom Foods scheme, for example, requires a minimum of '1.5 standard sized, long chopped straw bales per 1000 birds', in addition to perches and pecking devices and access to natural light (RSPCA Welfare Standards for Chickens, 2011). Several large UK retailers also advertise birds from their improved welfare chicken ranges as having been reared in windowed houses with access to straw bales, although the numbers and density of bales supplied is not specified to the consumer. The aim of this experiment was to determine the effects of two levels of straw bale provision on the behaviour and leg health of commercial broiler chickens. The effects of providing either thirty bales (1bale/44m² of floor space) or forty-five bales (1bale/29m² of floor space) per house were investigated. This study was performed as a follow-up to a previous commercial trial (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). As such, the base level of 30 straw bales was dictated by the level provided in this previous trial. Subsequently, however, there had been industry interest in increasing straw bale levels within windowed broiler houses, and provision of 45 bales reflected the new commercial practice on our partner farms. This was chosen as our comparative treatment (45SB) as it appeared to reflect a level that producers would be willing to implement in the absence of specific legislative requirements. We hypothesized that both the activity levels and walking ability of birds would be improved by the use of more straw bales.

Materials and Methods

Treatments and experimental design

The effects of straw bale (SB) density on the behaviour and welfare of broiler chickens was assessed in a study incorporating 2 treatments:(1) thirty straw bales (30SB) and (2) forty-five straw bales (45SB). The study took place in Northern

Ireland between January and October 2011. Bales of wheat straw, each measuring 80cm x 40cm x 40cm, were supplied from Day 10 of the rearing cycle. These were dispersed as evenly as possible throughout the house at an average density of approximately 1 bale per 44m² of floor space in the 30SB treatment, and 1 bale per 29m² in the 45SB treatment. As is normal commercial practice for the company on whose farms this research took place, plastic covering was left around the edges and across the top of opened bales. This increased the time the bales remained intact over the course of the rearing cycle. Over the course of the study, all bales were cut open by the research team in order to minimize differences in the size of area exposed to the birds (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). Twenty-four bales in the 30SB treatment and 36 bales in the 45SB treatment were initially cut open on Day 10 of the rearing cycle. Plastic was removed from the remaining bales after thinning occurred, during week 5. Bales were not replaced during the cycle. Two houses that were located on 1 farm and were identical in floor area, rectangular design and orientation were selected for this study. Each treatment was replicated 6 times, (across 6 production/rearing cycles) with treatments alternated between houses in each replicate.

Animals, husbandry and housing

A total of 276,000 Ross and Cobb broiler chickens obtained from 1 breeding company (Aviagen Ltd, UK) were used in this experiment. Approximately 23,000 birds were placed in houses 'as hatched', resulting in mixed-sex houses. The total floor area/house available to the birds was 1320 m², resulting in an approximate initial stocking density of 17 birds/m². Approximately half of the birds from each house were removed for slaughter during week 5 of the production cycle, and the

remaining birds were removed during week 6. Stocking densities did not exceed 30 kg/m². Temperature, ventilation and feeding regimes, and feed sources and blends, were identical between houses. Birds were fed on an *ad libitum* basis and received 3 different commercially-available diets across the cycle.

Natural light was supplied through 46 windows per house (measuring 220cm wide x 60cm high) which were located at a height of 1.5m along the length of the 2 'long' sides of the house. Windows comprised double glazed, toughened glass that allowed both visible wavelengths and a small amount of UV radiation to pass through. Artificial light was also provided in each house using 2 rows of 24 fluorescent strip lights running parallel to each other along the length of the house. Rows were placed 8 m from the nearest wall. Forty-eight 1.2m low frequency T8 tubes, emitting 3000 lumens each, were used in each house (F40w/29-530/RS warm white energy rating B, Disano Illuminazione UK Ltd., UK). Identical light fittings were also used in both houses (4ft Disano Hybro 951 IP65 fitting, Disano Illuminazione UK Ltd., UK).

The artificial lighting regime used was normal practice for the commercial supplier and was identical in both houses. The hours of darkness supplied rose by 1 hour per day from 1 hour at a day old, to 6 hours by 7 days old. This regime was then maintained from 7 until 28 days old. From 29 days old, hours of darkness were gradually reduced by 1 hour each day to 1 hour by 33 days old. One hour of darkness was then maintained until the end of the rearing cycle. The dark period was between 0000 and 0600 hours. Both lights and shutters were automatically controlled using timers. Shutters were set to automatically close and open at the start and end of the dark period, respectively.

Large fan heaters were placed in 2 uniform lines down the length of all houses and all drinkers were of the nipple variety and included cups. As is the usual practice on this farm, bedding comprised of wood shavings was placed in the house prior to the birds arriving. Sixty-six kilos of wood shavings was supplied per thousand birds. Additional sawdust was added to specific areas of the houses when deemed necessary by the farmer.

Measurements

Behavioural observations

Behaviour was assessed using direct focal observations that occurred between 09.00 and 12.00 hours, and between 13.00 and 16.00 hours on one particular day each week starting in week 3 and ending in week 5 of the rearing cycle. All measures were taken prior to thinning during week 5. The house shape was mapped and virtually divided into 36 equal sized quadrants. Quadrants in which measures were carried out were preselected each week using random number tables. They were categorized as being either at the edge or centre of the house, and containing straw bales or not. Individual focal observations of 8 birds per house per week were conducted using an electronic data recorder (Psion Workabout mx, Psion Industrial Plc., UK). Each observation within a given day was conducted in a different quadrant, and these were selected to include an equal number of edge and centre quadrants, and an equal number of quadrants with and without straw bales. The observations were continuous and lasted for 10 minutes. A 5 minute settling period was implemented prior to each focal observation. Successive observations alternated between the houses, and the house in which initial observations were carried out was alternated on a weekly basis. The bird to be observed was chosen

by placing an 'x' on a randomly chosen section of a perspex grid divided into thirty-six 5cm² squares (Kells *et al.*, 2001). The Perspex was held up at arm's length at the edge of the selected quadrant and an observation made of the bird observed closest to the 'x'. The behaviours recorded during focal sampling are shown in Supplementary Table S1. The frequency of behaviours and the total percentage of time the birds spent performing different behaviours was determined.

Leg health

Leg health was assessed using a latency to lie test (Weeks *et al.*, 2002) and spontaneous gait scoring. These assessments were performed once a week starting in week 3 and ending in week 5 of the rearing cycle. Latency to lie measures were performed in one house, followed by spontaneous gait scoring, before moving on to the second house. The house that observations started in was alternated weekly, and observations always took place between 12.00 and 15.00 hours. Gait scoring and latency to lie testing were performed in twenty-five quadrants in each house each week. Quadrants were randomly selected for each measure each week with the added provision that each quadrant was only selected once for each measure in order to limit the possibility of selecting the same bird repeatedly. Birds were selected for gait and latency to lie scoring in the same way as birds were selected for focal observations. The bird observed closest to the 'x' was gait scored, and the bird observed lying closest to the 'x' was assessed for latency to lie. The latency to lie test involved gently encouraging a lying bird into a standing position. A stopwatch was then used to record the time spent standing before the bird sat down. The test was terminated if no attempt to sit was witnessed after 5 minutes (Weeks *et al.*, 2002). The longer a bird remained standing for, the better the leg health of that bird

was deemed to be. The test was performed without use of a water bath (eg. Sherwin *et al.*, 1999; Bailie *et al.*, 2013) in order to limit stress associated with bird handling and removal from house. Gait was scored on a scale of 0-5 where 0 = normal movement and 5 = unable to walk (Kestin *et al.*, 1992). No birds with a gait score of 5 were recorded during the trial. Of the seven hundred and fifty birds that were gait scored throughout the trial, only 4 birds were assigned a score of 4. These birds were brought to the attention of the farmer. The percentage of lame birds with a gait score of 3 and above was determined. The incidences of podo dermatitis and hock burn at slaughter were recorded by slaughterhouse staff as described in Bailie *et al.* (2013). The incidence of hock burn was recorded in 200 birds at thinning and 200 birds at slaughter. Two hundred feet removed from birds at thinning and 200 feet removed from birds at slaughter were examined for the presence of podo dermatitis.

Environmental parameters

Light intensity (lux) values were recorded immediately following each focal observation from the centre of each of the 4 -SB quadrants selected for focal observations and from the nearest long side of a straw bale in the +SB quadrants in each house each week using a light meter (Digital lux meter LX1010B, Handsun Co. Ltd, China), held at arm's length, at bird height. Four readings were taken at right angles to one another (N, S, E, W) and averaged in order to give 1 reading for each quadrant. Readings for all quadrants were averaged each week to give an average house light intensity value. UV wavelengths ($\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$) were measured using a UV meter (UV-340 meter, Lutron Electronic Enterprise Co. Ltd.Taiwan) following the same procedure, with the exception that a single measurement was taken in each quadrant, pointing the meter towards the nearest source of UV light.

227 *Productivity and mortality*

228 The numbers of birds that died and that were culled for lameness and other reasons
229 in each house were taken from company records on a weekly basis. Farmers culled
230 as normal throughout the study.

231

232 *Statistical analysis*

233 Data were analysed using SPSS (Version 20.0). Due to logistical problems and
234 equipment failure, behavioural data for both houses during Cycle 1 Week 5, Cycle 3
235 Weeks 3, 4 and 5 and Cycle 4 Week 3 were missing from the analysis. Gait scoring
236 and latency to lie data from Cycle 1 Week 5, Cycle 3 Week 5 and Cycle 4 Week 3
237 were also missing from the analysis for both houses. Histograms and QQ plots of the
238 data were scrutinised for normality, and data were subjected to statistical testing for
239 normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and for homogeneity using Levene's test. The
240 frequency of immobility, preening, eating, idling, resting and ground pecking, the
241 duration of standing, walking, resting, preening, eating, drinking and ground pecking
242 and the average gait score and percentage of lame birds did not meet either the
243 assumptions of normality or homogeneity and were therefore transformed using
244 \log_{10} transformations. Data regarding the frequency of drinking and experiencing a
245 disturbance were transformed using a square root transformation. Data containing
246 zero values had 0.5 added to each value prior to transformation. The means of these
247 measures (and of those that originally met assumptions of normality and
248 homogeneity) were compared using ANOVA with the main effects of Straw bale
249 density, Week and the interaction between these variables as treatment factors and
250 'House' as a blocking factor.

For all measures except slaughter weights, hock burn and podo dermatitis incidences, and cumulative percentage of dead birds at day 30 of the rearing cycle, average values per treatment, week and house were used as experimental units. All main effects, and interactive effects of treatment and week, were determined. For all other measures, average values per treatment and house were used as experimental units and main treatment effects were determined. Back transformed means, with 0.5 removed from each value where appropriate, are included in the results section for those measures that required transformation. Root mean square error (RMSE) values are presented for ANOVA data and were calculated by taking the square root of error mean square values. Significant differences between weeks were ascertained using Tukey HSD post hoc tests. Some data did not meet assumptions of normality or homogeneity after transformation. These included the duration of immobility, the frequency of running, dust bathing, aggression and of bale pecking, the duration of running, lying, dust bathing, aggression, bale pecking and of vigilance, and the total number of birds culled by day 30 of the rearing cycle. Kruskal-Wallis tests were therefore used to test the significance of the effect of bale density and week on each of these measures, except in the case of total number of culls, for which the effect of bale density alone was determined. Significant differences between weeks were ascertained using post hoc pairwise comparison tests.

Results

Environmental parameters

There was no significant difference in light intensity (30SB 57.95, 45SB 51.01, R.M.S.E. 29.85lux, $p=0.56$) or UV wavelengths (30SB 4.10, 45SB 3.55, R.M.S.E. 1.06 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, $p=0.19$) between bale density treatments.

Behaviour

Straw bale density had no significant effect on the frequency (per 10 minute observation) of lying, standing, walking, immobility, vigilance, disturbances, preening, drinking, eating, idling, resting and ground pecking (Table 1) or on the frequency of running, dust bathing, aggression or bale pecking (Table 3). Bale density also had no effect on the percentage of time birds spent walking, standing, resting, preening, eating, drinking, being idle, being disturbed and ground pecking (Table 2) or on the percentage of time spent lying, immobile, running, dust bathing, being aggressive, bale pecking or engaged in vigilance (Table 3).

The average frequency of disturbances experienced by focal birds increased significantly with age, although the frequency of lying, standing, walking, immobility, vigilance, preening, drinking, eating, idling, resting and ground pecking remained unaffected (Table 1). The frequency of running decreased significantly with age, however no significant age effects were shown for dust bathing, aggression and bale pecking (Table 3).

The average percentage of time spent walking decreased with age, whereas the percentage of time spent standing, resting, preening, eating, drinking, being idle, being disturbed and ground pecking were unaffected by age (Table 2). The percentage of time spent running decreased, and the percentage of time spent immobile increased with age (Table 3). There was no significant effect of age on the

percentage of time spent lying, dust bathing, being aggressive, bale pecking and being vigilant (Table 3).

Leg Health

Straw bale density had no significant effect on average gait score (30SB 1.25, 45SB 1.30, R.M.S.E. 0.06, $p=0.29$), the percentage of lame birds (30SB 12.78, 45SB 13.02, R.M.S.E. 0.18%, $p=0.80$), the percentage of birds with hock burn (30SB 15.67, 45SB 12.00, R.M.S.E. 6.41%, $p=0.37$), or on the percentage of feet with pododermatitis (30B 55.25, 45B 53.41, R.M.S.E. 18.86%, $p=0.88$). However, average latency to lie was significantly longer in the 30SB treatment than in the 45SB treatment (30SB 23.38, 45SB 18.62, R.M.S.E. 4.16s, $p<0.01$).

There was a significant increase in gait score with age (week 3 0.68, week 4 1.21, week 5 1.94, R.M.S.E 0.06, $p<0.01$). In addition, there was a significant increase in the percentage of lame birds (week 3 1.20, week 4 7.00, week 5 30.50, R.M.S.E 0.18%, $p<0.01$) and a significant decrease in average latency to lie (week 3 25.71, week 4 20.32, week 5 16.98, R.M.S.E 4.16s, $p<0.01$) with age.

Culls, mortalities and productivity

Straw bale density had no significant effect on the average slaughter weight of birds recorded during thinning and clearing (30SB 2261.46, 45SB 2151.15, R.M.S.E. 131.74g, $p=0.21$), on the number of birds culled (ranked means: 30SB 6.67, 45SB 6.33, $\chi^2(1, N =12)$ 0.03, $p=0.87$), or on the cumulative percentage of dead birds recorded at day 30 of the rearing cycle (30SB 2.86, 45SB 2.76, R.M.S.E. 0.55%, $p=0.76$).

Discussion

Research performed under commercial conditions showed that provision of straw bales at a density of 1 bale/17m² led to significant increases in activity levels of broilers compared to when no bales were provided (Kells *et al.*, 2001). More recent research performed within windowed houses showed no significant effect of access to straw bales provided at a lower density of 1 bale/44m² on the percentage of time birds spent engaged in behaviours such as lying, standing, resting, eating, preening and aggression or on the frequency of any of the behaviours recorded within the study (Bailie *et al.*, 2013). The results of the current study suggest that increasing the number of straw bales by 50%, and therefore increasing the density of bales from approximately 1 bale/44m² to 1 bale/29m² of floor space, had no significant effect on welfare-related parameters such as activity levels, walking ability or incidence of pododermatitis and hock burn. However, no significant differences in slaughter weights, mortalities and culls were evident between treatments, suggesting that increasing the density of straw bales had no detrimental effects on productivity.

As the present study was performed in windowed houses, it is possible that variations in the light intensity and UV levels within houses may have influenced broiler behaviour (Newberry *et al.*, 1988; Maddocks *et al.*, 2001; Bailie *et al.*, 2013). However, results showed no significant difference in the intensity or UV content of light between treatments, suggesting that these factors would have had limited effects on the frequency or duration of performed behaviours. The lack of a significant treatment effect on the majority of measures in this study may have reflected the relatively small difference in the numbers of bales provided in each treatment. For example, as bales were dispersed as evenly as possible throughout houses, the average distance between bales would have been 6.6m and 5.4m in the

30SB and 45SB treatments, respectively. Also, competition for bales would not have differed greatly between treatments, with approximately 1 bale per 500 birds in the 45SB treatment and 1 bale per 760 birds in the 30SB treatment. However, in order for this study to be applicable to the broiler industry, treatments were designed to reflect baseline and increased levels of straw bale provision likely to be seen in commercial practice.

The significant increase in the frequency of disturbances experienced by birds as they aged was most likely due to the increase in stocking density and crowding over the course of the rearing cycle (Hall, 2001). The significant decrease in locomotion with age echoes results of past research (Knowles *et al.*, 2008) and may be attributable to an increase in stocking density (Estevez *et al.*, 1997). It may also have been due to the negative effect of weight gain on leg health (Julian, 1998; Kestin *et al.*, 2001) which was reflected within this study in the significant increase in gait score, and decrease in latency to lie, across weeks.

Results showed an increased latency to lie in birds provided with thirty bales compared to those provided with forty-five bales. The leg health implications of this finding are difficult to interpret in the absence of an accompanying significant improvement in gait score. As birds rarely used straw bales for perching, possibly due to difficulty in accessing the top of high bales (Carley Bailie, personal observation), it is possible that increased numbers of straw bales may have resulted in decreased floor space available for use by the birds (Kells *et al.*, 2001). Increased bale numbers may therefore have effectively increased stocking density, and this has been shown to have a detrimental effect on latency to lie in broilers (Buijs *et al.*, 2009). However, as bales tended to disintegrate over the course of the rearing cycle, the resultant decrease in floor space associated with the provision of extra straw

bales may not have been an issue during the latter weeks of the rearing cycle. In any case, the initial floor area taken up by straw bales was 9.6m² in the 30 bale treatment and 14.4m² in the 45 bale treatment. This meant that the difference in the size of area allowed for each individual bird was little more than 2cm² and that the reduction in space per bird, and the resultant increase in stocking density, with the provision of 45 bales was not likely to be biologically meaningful.

Under natural conditions, fowl tend to seek out cover for the performance of resting behaviour (Wood-Gush *et al.*, 1978), and 2 to 3 week old broilers continue to display a similar behavioural repertoire to their red jungle fowl ancestors (Collias and Collias, 1967). Modern broilers have shown a tendency to gather around straw bales, poultry house roof supports and walls (eg. Kells *et al.*, 2001). Laying hen strains have also been shown to spend more time in areas containing vertical cover panels, and also to display an increase in preening and resting behaviours when provided with panels (Newberry and Shackleton, 1997). The increased performance of these behaviours may indicate a reduction in fearfulness, or vigilance. Therefore, due to the way in which the latency to lie test was carried out, it is also possible that the increased latency to lie in 30SB birds was due to increased fearfulness associated with reduced (straw bale) cover within the windowed houses. However, no difference in vigilance was observed between treatments within this study, suggesting that birds provided with thirty bales were no more apprehensive of their environment than birds provided with forty-five bales.

In conclusion, increasing the level of straw bales provided did not exert significant effects on the activity levels or productivity of commercial broiler chickens. Walking ability and leg health in general remained largely unaffected by the numbers of straw bales provided. This suggests that an increase in the level of straw bales of the

magnitude which is likely to be seen in commercial practice may not be sufficient to
significantly improve broiler chicken welfare. Further research is required to
determine the optimum level of provision of enrichment stimuli to maximise the
welfare of birds within large commercial flocks.

Acknowledgements

This research project was funded by the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development in Northern Ireland. The authors also wish to thank Moy Park Ltd. for allowing access to their farms and for their support and advice throughout the project.

References

- Aerni V, El-Lethey H and Wechsler B 2000. Effect of foraging material and food form on feather pecking in laying hens. *British Poultry Science* 41, 16-21.
- Bailie CL, Ball MEE and O'Connell NE 2013. Influence of the provision of natural light and straw bales on activity levels and leg health in commercial broiler chickens. *Animal* 7, 618-626.
- Buijs S, Keeling L, Rettenbacher S, Van Poucke E and Tuytens FAM 2009. Stocking density effects on broiler welfare: Identifying sensitive ranges for different indicators. *Poultry Science* 88, 1536-1543.
- Collias NE and Collias EC 1967. A field study of the red jungle fowl in north-central India. *Condor* 69, 360-386.
- Council Directive 2007/43/EC of June 2007 laying down minimum rules for the protection of chickens kept for meat production. Available at http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/en/oj/2007/l_182/l_18220070712en00190028.pdf [Accessed 1st Sept 2013].

423 Estévez I, Newberry R and Arias de Reyna L 1997. Broiler chickens, A tolerant social
 424 system? *Etología* 5, 19-29.

425 Estevez I, Tablante N, Pettit-Riley RL and Carr L 2002. Use of cool perches by broiler
 426 chickens. *Poultry Science* 81, 62-69.

427 Hall AL 2001. The effect of stocking density on the welfare and behaviour of broiler chickens
 428 reared commercially. *Animal Welfare* 10, 23–40.

429 Julian R J 1998. Rapid growth problems: Ascites and skeletal deformities in broilers. *Poultry*
 430 *Science* 12, 1773–1780.

431 Kells A, Dawkins MS and Borja MC 2001. The effect of a 'freedom food' enrichment on the
 432 behaviour of broilers on commercial farms. *Animal Welfare* 10, 347-356.

433 Kestin SC, Knowles TG, Tinch AE and Gregory NG 1992. Prevalence of leg weakness in
 434 broiler chickens and its relationship with genotype. *Veterinary Record* 131, 190– 194.

435 Kestin SC, Gorden S, Su G and Sorensen P 2001. Relationships in broiler chickens between
 436 lameness, live weight, growth rate and age. *Veterinary Record* 148, 195–197.

437 Knowles TG, Kestin SC, Haslam SM, Brown SN, Green LE, Butterworth A, Pope SJ, Pfeiffer
 438 D and Nicol CJ 2008. Leg Disorders in Broiler Chickens: Prevalence, Risk Factors and
 439 Prevention. *PLoS ONE* 3 e1545. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001545

440 Maddocks SA, Cuthill IC, Goldsmith AR and Sherwin CM 2001. Behavioural and
 441 physiological effects of absence of ultraviolet wavelengths for domestic chicks. *Animal*
 442 *Behaviour* 62, 1013-1019.

443 Newberry RC 1995. Environmental enrichment: increasing the biological relevance of
 444 captive environments. *Applied Animal Behavioural Science* 44, 229–243.

445 Newberry RC, Hunt JR, Gardiner EE 1988. The influence of light intensity on behaviour and
 446 performance of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 67, 1020-1025.

447 Newberry RC and Shackleton DM 1997. Use of cover by domestic fowl: a Venetian blind
 448 effect? *Animal Behaviour* 54, 387-395.

449 RSPCA Welfare Standards for Chickens 2011. RSPCA Freedom Food Farm Assurance and
450 Food Labelling Scheme. Available at <http://www.rspca.org.uk/ImageLocator/Locate>
451 [Asset?asset=document&assetId=1232725466971&mode=prd](http://www.rspca.org.uk/ImageLocator/LocateAsset?asset=document&assetId=1232725466971&mode=prd) [Accessed 1st Sept 2013].
452 Sherwin CM, Lewis PD and Perry GC 1999. The effects of environmental enrichment and
453 intermittent lighting on the behaviour and welfare of male domestic turkeys. *Applied Animal*
454 *Behaviour Science* 62, 319–333.
455 Weeks CA, Danbury TD, Davies HC, Hunt P and Kestin SC 2000. The behaviour of broiler
456 chickens and its modification by lameness. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*. 67, 111-125.
457 Weeks CA, Knowles TG, Gordon RG, Kerr AE, Peyton ST and Tillbrook NT 2002. New
458 method for objectively assessing lameness in broiler chickens. *Veterinary Record* 151, 762–
459 764.
460 Woodgush DGM, Duncan IJH and Savory CJ 1978. Observations on social-behavior of
461 domestic-fowl in wild. *Biology of Behaviour* 3,193–205.

Table 1 Effect of straw bale density and age on normally distributed data on the mean frequency of behaviours performed during 10 min focal observations

Behaviour	Transformation used for test	Bale density		Age			R.M.S.E	p(SB)	p(Wk)
		30SB	45SB	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5			
Lie	N/A	31.83	30.77	28.75	29.90	35.25	8.18	0.75	0.26
Stand	N/A	26.94	25.55	24.00	24.60	30.13	8.51	0.69	0.30
Walk	N/A	43.94	46.38	53.00	49.10	33.38	19.25	0.76	0.12
Immobile	Log ₁₀	51.82	54.20	61.00	56.90	41.13	18.64	0.76	0.10
Idle	Log ₁₀	166.72	162.83	170.25	156.7	167.37	0.33	0.77	0.64
Rest	Log ₁₀	28.37	28.62	27.88	25.10	32.50	0.49	0.84	0.41
Eat	Log ₁₀	14.40	10.83	12.63	12.60	12.63	0.61	0.85	0.52
Drink	Square root	32.94	39.06	49.00	30.50	28.50	3.33	0.73	0.29
Preen	Log ₁₀	19.00	19.12	17.50	20.30	19.38	0.77	0.70	0.87
Ground peck	Log ₁₀	19.66	17.18	19.25	20.50	15.50	0.64	0.24	0.59
Vigilance	N/A	43.70	39.43	40.88	42.20	41.63	11.77	0.38	0.97
Disturbance	Square root	13.75	12.45	8.13 ^a	10.30 ^{ab}	20.88 ^b	1.18	0.56	0.02
Other	N/A	0.78	0.57	3.25 ^a	6.40 ^{ab}	9.50 ^b	0.90	0.18	0.03

N/A = non applicable, SB = straw bales, for the effect of straw bale density on all behaviours (df 1, 25). Wk= week, for the effect of age on all behaviours (df 2, 25). R.M.S.E = root mean squared error. Data analysed by ANOVA with ‘SBdensity*Week’ as a treatment factor and ‘House’ as a blocking factor. ^{a,b} means (within either the frequency or duration behaviour category) in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly. Data not presented in this table were not normally distributed and were therefore analysed using a Kruskal-Wallis test, the results of which are detailed in Table 3.

Table 2 Effect of straw bale density and age on normally distributed data on the mean % of time spent performing behaviours during 10 min focal observations

Behaviour	Transformation used for test	Bale density		Age			R.M.S.E	p(SB)	p(Wk)
		30SB	45SB	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5			
Stand	Log ₁₀	16.30	16.81	18.31	16.87	14.48	0.79	0.96	0.71
Walk	Log ₁₀	3.71	2.28	4.55 ^a	2.89 ^{ab}	1.54 ^b	0.27	0.25	0.03
Idle	N/A	56.65	56.89	53.92	58.55	57.83	11.17	0.96	0.66
Rest	Log ₁₀	22.70	25.36	26.34	20.81	24.94	0.18	0.45	0.43
Eat	Log ₁₀	3.13	3.27	3.58	2.64	3.38	0.43	0.75	0.68
Drink	Log ₁₀	1.79	1.99	2.62	1.641	1.399	0.39	0.77	0.26
Preen	Log ₁₀	1.33	1.35	1.33	1.35	1.34	0.32	0.66	0.93
Ground peck	Log ₁₀	2.95	2.08	17.50	20.30	19.38	0.95	0.17	0.18
Disturbance	N/A	1.92	1.59	19.25	20.50	15.50	1.18	0.51	0.49
Other	N/A	1.07	0.69	40.88 ^a	42.20 ^b	41.63 ^b	0.22	0.27	0.01

N/A = non applicable, SB = straw bales, for the effect of straw bale density on all behaviours (df 1, 25). Wk= week, for the effect of age on all behaviours (df 2, 25). R.M.S.E = root mean squared error. Data analysed by ANOVA with ‘SBdensity*Week’ as a treatment factor and ‘House’ as a blocking factor. ^{a,b} means (within either the frequency or duration behaviour category) in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly. Data not presented in this table were not normally distributed and were therefore analysed using a Kruskal-Wallis test, the results of which are detailed in Table 3.

Table 3 *Effect of straw bale density and age on non-normally distributed data on the mean frequency and the mean % of time spent performing behaviours during 10 min focal observations*

		Bale density		Age			X ² (SB)	X ² (Wk)	p(SB)	p(Wk)
	Behaviour	30SB	45SB	Wk3	Wk4	Wk5				
Frequency/10mins of behaviours	Run	12.88	14.12	19.13 ^a	13.40 ^{ab}	8.00 ^b	0.18	9.25	0.67	0.01
	Dust bathe	13.00	14.00	13.75	13.20	13.63	0.36	0.09	0.55	0.96
	Aggression	11.73	15.27	14.25	14.00	12.13	1.95	0.53	0.16	0.77
	Bale peck	15.35	11.65	12.63	13.40	14.50	1.60	0.26	0.21	0.88
% of time spent performing behaviours	Lie	13.31	13.69	13.00	12.80	14.88	0.02	0.38	0.90	0.83
	Immobile	12.46	14.54	10.88 ^a	11.10 ^a	19.13 ^b	0.48	6.25	0.49	0.04
	Run	12.92	14.08	19.25 ^a	13.05 ^{ab}	8.31 ^b	0.16	8.92	0.69	0.01
	Dust bathe	13.00	14.00	13.75	13.20	13.63	0.36	0.09	0.55	0.96
	Aggression	11.13	14.73	13.56	13.50	11.64	2.03	0.45	0.15	0.80
	Bale peck	14.62	12.38	12.13	14.85	13.19	0.57	0.60	0.45	0.74
	Vigilance	15.15	11.85	13.00	17.20	9.38	1.22	4.70	0.27	0.10

SB = straw bales, for the effect of straw bale density on all behaviours (df 1, 25). Wk = week, for the effect of age on all behaviours (df 2, 25). Ranked means are displayed for each level of straw bale density and for each week. Data was analysed using a Kruskal-Wallis test with 'Straw bale density' and 'Week' as treatment factors. ^{a,b} ranked means (within either the frequency or duration behaviour category) in the same row with a different superscript differ significantly. Data not presented in this table were normally distributed and were therefore analysed using ANOVA, the results of which are detailed in Tables 1 and 2.